

Searching for genes controlling fatness traits in pigs – a review*

Marek Świtoński, Agata Chmurzyńska, Mariusz Maćkowski

Department of Genetics and Animal Breeding,
The August Cieszkowski Agricultural University of Poznań,
Wołyńska 33, 60-637 Poznań, Poland

(Received April 14, 2003; accepted May 26, 2003)

Fat content of carcass is an important multigenic trait in pig breeding. There are reports indicating several chromosomes, e.g. 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 12, 13, 14 and 18 which possibly harbour QTLs for fatness traits. Among QTL candidate genes there are leptin (*LEP*) and its receptor (*LEPR*), both playing essential role in food intake and energy balance. Moreover, expression level and polymorphism of the adipocyte specific transcription factors, such as CREB (cAMP response element binding protein) or C/EBP (CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein) may also cause phenotypic variation of the fatness traits. Some of the candidate QTLs, as *LEP*, *LEPR*, *C/EBP* and additionally *H-FABP* (fatty acid binding protein gene) and *RYR1*, are localized on chromosome 6. It is foreseen that searching for polymorphisms of the chosen genes may reveal association between a genotype and phenotypic variation of selected fatness traits. However, the studies are complex and require analysis of numerous genes. Cited are 71 references.

KEY WORDS: fatness traits / leptin / leptin receptor / marker genome map / QTL / pig

An advanced marker genome map facilitates the detection of genes controlling productive traits by genome scanning. The efficiency of this approach depends on the density of the marker genome map. In the porcine genome map there are already 2690 *loci*, out of which 928 are designated as genes (ARKdb, Pig data base, Roslin Institute, <http://www.thearkdb.org>). Since the haploid number of chromosomes (n) in the pig is

* Supported by the State Committee for Scientific Research, grant PBZ-KBN-036/P06/14.

19, it may be assumed that marker density of the map is sufficient to conduct preliminary searching for any gene of interest which controls a trait with a known phenotypic variation. In such studies information on genome maps of other species is also helpful. Chromosome homology, revealed by the so-called comparative chromosome painting, was presented for the pig and human, as well as cattle and sheep chromosomes [Fronicke *et al.* 1996, Goureau *et al.* 1996, Schmitz *et al.* 1998, Fronicke and Wienberg 2001]. Also detailed comparisons of gene order in selected chromosomes of the pig and human were made. For instance, a high homology of the gene order between human chromosome 12 and pig chromosome 5 [Goureau *et al.* 2001], or human chromosome 21 and pig chromosome 13 [Tuggle *et al.* 2001] have recently been reported. On the other hand, it was shown that human chromosome 3 and pig chromosome 13 show a complete synteny conservation, but extensive differences in the gene order [Sun *et al.* 1999]. The above examples indicate that information on homology between chromosome fragments in the human and pig genomes not always reflects homology of gene order.

There are several approaches which can be applied in the studies on the detection of quantitative trait *loci* (QTLs): (1) segregation analysis of markers in two- or three-generation reference families in which phenotype variation of traits is recorded along with the segregation of alleles representing a large number of evenly spread marker *loci*; (2) molecular analysis of *a priori* selected genes which potentially may play a major role in the genetic control of a trait; (3) analysis of tissue-derived cDNAs (muscle, fat, etc.) and (4) the so-called *in silico* mapping (mapping with the use of available electronic databases). In the recent years the two latter approaches have become very powerful and promising. Davoli *et al.* [2002] analysed cDNAs developed from porcine skeletal muscles, and mapped 107 DNA fragments onto the pig chromosomes, using the somatic cell hybridization approach. Among them at least one gene, namely *ADFP* (adipocyte differentiation-related protein) is strictly related to the function of adipocytes. On the other hand, *in silico* mapping was applied by Farber and Medrano [2003]. The authors compared flanking sequences of 1570 porcine microsatellites with EST (expressed sequence tags) deposited in GenBank databases and found 44 sequences which had at least one match to the human genomic sequence or ESTs and could be localized in the pig genome. The EST porcine map, based on human and porcine ESTs, has recently been developed within the European GENETPIG project [Karsenty *et al.* 2003]. The authors reported the mapping of 630 ESTs in the pig genome – <http://www.infobiogen.fr/services/Genetpig/>.

Genome scans to identify chromosome regions with genes controlling fatness traits

Searching for chromosomal regions carrying QTLs for traits of economic importance, with the use of marker genome maps, has become a common strategy applied in major livestock species, including the pig. In the very first publication devoted to this topic Andersson *et al.* [1994] analysed the segregation of 105 genetic markers along

with the analysis of phenotypic variation of some production traits in the F₂ generation of a three-generation reference family, derived from Large White × wild boar crosses. In further studies, carried out by other authors, different resource families were also considered, e.g. Large White × Złotnicka Spotted [Kurył *et al.* 1998], Berkshire × Yorkshire [Malek *et al.* 2000a, 2000b], Meishan × Large White [Milan *et al.* 2002], and Iberian Goudybas line × Landrace [Ovilo *et al.* 2002]. It is obvious that the analysis of genetically different resource families may lead to inconsistent results for the same traits, due to breed-specific gene pools and interactions between them. Results obtained in the nineties, with regard to a wide range of production traits, were reviewed by Kurył [2000] and Schwerin [2001]. In the recent years new data were reported concerning the localization of the QTLs for fat deposition in pigs.

An extended study on the detection of QTLs for carcass composition was reported by Milan *et al.* [2002]. The authors identified several chromosome regions which may carry QTLs for fatness traits; among them QTLs for backfat weight were detected on chromosome 1, 2, 4, 7, 11 and X. In that study the intramuscular fat content was not included, however considered were leanness traits. Among them the ECLC indicator (estimated carcass lean content based of the relation between ham, loin, backfat, leaf fat and belly) was considered. QTLs for this trait were detected on the same chromosomes – 1, 2, 7 and X. Suggestions concerning chromosomes 2 and 7 were earlier presented by Rattink *et al.* [2000] who carried out a detailed study of chromosomes 2, 4 and 7 and found evidence for the backfat thickness QTL, but not for intramuscular fat content, on chromosome 2 and 7. The X chromosome as a carrier of QTLs for backfat and intramuscular fat content was suggested also by Harlizius *et al.* [2000].

Scanning of the porcine genome, with the use of 125 microsatellite markers covering the entire genome, revealed evidence for QTLs influencing backfat thickness (measured at different points) on chromosomes 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 12, 13, 14 and 18 [Malek *et al.* 2001a]. On the other hand, QTLs for intramuscular fat were found on chromosomes 1, 8 and 10. In addition, QTLs for the total lipid per cent and cholesterol concentration were indicated on chromosomes 1 and 18, respectively [Malek *et al.* 2001b].

Interesting results were reported for chromosome 6 by Ovilo *et al.* [2000] who indicated that QTLs for intramuscular fat and backfat thickness reside on chromosome 6, between S0228 and Sw1881 microsatellite markers. A similar suggestion was presented by Grindflek *et al.* [2001] who showed that the QTL for intramuscular fat is flanked by SW1823 and S0228 microsatellite markers. The polymorphism effect of two genes, namely heart fatty acid binding protein (*H-FABP*) and leptin receptor (*LEPR*), which are located in the region of interest, was tested in further studies carried out by Ovilo *et al.* [2002]. Both polymorphisms were caused by silent nucleotide substitutions in intron 2 and 4, respectively. The *H-FABP* gene appeared to be associated with intramuscular fat content, but not with backfat thickness, while the *LEPR* gene with both intramuscular fat content and backfat thickness. It is important to emphasize that both polymorphisms were not casual mutations for these effects.

The studies mentioned revealed that QTLs for backfat may reside on numerous

pig chromosomes. On the other hand, certain chromosomes (1, 4, 6, 7 and X) were detected in independent studies, carried out on different reference families. Moreover, QTLs for the intramuscular fat content were also found on chromosomes 1, 6 and X indicating a genetic correlation between both fatness traits. Thus, these chromosomes may be recommended for further detailed studies. Kurył [2000] reviewed results of similar studies carried out in the years 1994-1999 and also showed numerous chromosomes with evidence for backfat (nos. 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 13, 15 and X) and/or intramuscular fat (nos. 2, 4, 6, 7) QTLs. Considering all the results mentioned it can be concluded that the segregation of a single gene with a really large effect on both traits in all studied reference families, is rather unlikely. It seems more probable that in different populations may segregate specific alleles with rather moderate effect. On the other hand, these studies showed some chromosomes (nos. 1, 4, 6, 7 and X) which should be carefully studied.

Genomic studies on mammalian species revealed several genes, which may play a key role in the control of fatness traits. The localization of these genes (Tab. 1) indicates that at least some of them reside on chromosomes indicated as carriers of QTLs for fatness traits. The effect of some genes – leptin (*LEP*), leptin receptor (*LEPR*), heart and adipocyte fatty acid-binding proteins (*H-FABP* and *A-FABP*, respectively) – was already studied in the pig. The association of *H-FABP* and *A-FABP* genes polymorphisms with intramuscular fat and backfat content was suggested by Gerbens *et al.* [2000]. There are also other genes, which can also be considered as candidate QTLs, since they are involved in adipocytogenesis, e.g. those of *CEBP α* and *CREB* transcription factors and adipose differentiation-related protein (*ADFP*). Our studies were focused on four *a priori* selected genes which are biologically related to energy balance (*LEP* and *LEPR*) and adipocytogenesis (*CEBP α* and *CREB*).

Table 1. Selected genes which may be considered as candidate QTLs for fatness traits in pigs

Locus	Gene	Chromosome No.
<i>LEP</i>	leptin	18
<i>LEPR</i>	leptin receptor	6
<i>H-FABP</i>	heart fatty acid-binding protein	6
<i>A-FABP</i>	adipocyte fatty acid-binding protein	4
<i>ADFP</i>	adipose differentiation-related protein	1
<i>CEBPα</i>	CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein	2* or 6*
<i>CEBPβ</i>	as above	17*
<i>CREB</i>	as above	7
<i>CREB</i>	cyclic AMP response element-binding protein	3* or 15*
<i>PPARG</i>	peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor	13*

*Localization anticipated from the human-pig comparative chromosome painting [Fronicka *et al.* 1996].

Leptin and leptin receptor genes – comparative studies

The leptin gene (*LEP*) was mapped in the pig chromosome 18q13-q21 [Neuenschwander *et al.* 1996]. From the studies on the human and mouse genomes it is known that *LEP* gene is composed of three exons and two introns. Its structure in the pig genome is rather poorly described – until now the DNA sequence, comprising of a part of intron 1, exon 2, intron 2 and a part of exon 3, was deposited with the GenBank (Accession number U66254) – Bidwell *et al.* [1997]. Both the structure of the leptin gene and the mRNA processing were already described in a review article by Madeja *et al.* [2002]. A comparative study of the known DNA sequences showed a high homology between the porcine and human (93%) or porcine and mouse (92%) sequences. This observation indicates that the structural part (exons and introns) of the leptin gene is evolutionary highly conservative.

There are no data available concerning porcine 5'- and 3'-flanking DNA sequences of the *LEP* gene. A comparative analysis of human, cattle and mouse 5'-flanking sequences reveals quite a high homology (Fig. 1). Between the transcription initiation site and the TATA box a sequence Sp1 is present, important for the protection of the DNA helix against DNase I. This motif occurs also in other sites. Upstream from the TATA box there are several sequences recognized by C/EBP (CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein) and CREB (cAMP response element-binding protein) transcription

	SP 1	CREB	C/EBP	SP 1	
Human	---CCC GCC---	TACG TCA---	ATTG CAAA---	CCGCC---	
Cattle	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Mouse	-----	TACTTCA---	-----	-----	
	C/EBP	CREB	GRE	SP 1	C/EBP
Human	ATTACCAA-	CGTCAT-	TCTGATCT-	CCCCCGCCC---	CTTGCGCCA---
Cattle	-----	-----	-----	CTGTCGGGGC---	CTTTCCTCCG--
Mouse	-----	-----	-----	CTGTCGGAAA---	CTCCCTGCG--
	AP 2	SP 1	SP 1	LP 1	
Human	CCCAAGGC---	CCGCC---	GGGCGGGC GG---	GGCGCTAG---	
Cattle	CGCCGGC---	CTGCC---	CCGCAGGGC GG---	GGCGCTCG---	
Mouse	GCACTAGG---	CTGCCA---	GGGTGGGGC GG---	GGCGCTGC---	
	E'box	C/EBP	TATAbox	SP 1	Exon 1
Human	CAGTTGC GCAAG---	TATAAGA-	GGGCGG---	GTAGGAATC	
Cattle	CAGTTGC GCAAG---	TATAAGA-	GGGCGG---	GGGATC	
Mouse	CAGTTGC GCAAG---	TATAAGA-	GGGCGG---	GGGATC	

Fig. 1. Comparison of human, bovine and mouse leptin gene promoter sequences. Transcription factor-binding sites are shown in a letter code. Fragments of the consensus sequences which are common for the two transcription sites are bolded. Regions which are non-conservative are shown in italics.

factors. The crucial role of the C/EBP α for the transcriptional activation of the leptin gene was experimentally confirmed both in man and mouse [Hwang *et al.* 1996, Miller *et al.* 1996]. There are also other regulatory sequences: GRE (glucorticoid response element), E box and AP2 binding site [Gong *et al.* 1996, Isse *et al.* 1995, Mason *et al.* 1998]. However, in the mouse and cattle genomes some of the above sequences were not identified in spite of their presence in the human leptin gene. It can be anticipated that also in the pig genome not all sequences found in man are present.

Knowledge on the porcine leptin receptor gene (*LEPR*) mapped onto chromosome 6q33-q35 is also very limited. Gene structure and the presence of different *Lepr* isoforms was reviewed recently by Madeja *et al.* [2002]. Only a small part of the porcine *Lepr* gene DNA sequence, comprising exons 3, 4 and 5, was described [Ruiz-Cortez *et al.* 2000]. For the human *Lepr* the cDNA sequence is known, representing all twenty exons [Tartaglia *et al.* 1995]. Unfortunately, there are no data concerning the 5'-flanking sequence of this gene. Surprisingly, a comparison between human, mouse and rat 5'-sequences, based on available genomic DNA sequences (GenBank Accession numbers: human – NT 004636, mouse – NT 039264, rat – NW 043856), did not reveal homology. We were not able to find the TATA box in the 5'-flanking human DNA sequence. It is known that not all promoters contain this sequence, thus it may potentially also concern the *LEPR* gene.

Phenotypic effects of *LEP* and *LEPR* polymorphisms

Phenotypic effects of point mutations in the *LEP* and *LEPR* genes were first described in the mice [Zhang *et al.* 1994, Chen *et al.* 1996]. Also in human there are some reports on this association. For example, polymorphism G2548A in the 5'-flanking region was associated with extreme obesity in women and the G allele more frequently found in overweight individuals [Mammes *et al.* 2000].

In both genes several polymorphic sites were identified in the pig. In the *LEP* gene the first polymorphism found in the pig was a silent substitution C→T at position 3469 (exon 3) which is recognizable by the *Hinf*I restriction enzyme [Stratil *et al.* 1997]. In the same exon another silent substitution G3714T identified by the *Pst*I restriction enzyme was described by Jiang and Gibson [1999] who also found two other substitutions in intron 1 (C867T) and intron 2 (A1112G), detected by the *Taq*I restriction endonuclease. Kennes *et al.* [2001] described substitution A2845T in intron 2, recognized by the *Xba*I restriction enzyme. A lack of mutations causing the alteration of the amino acid suggests that its sequence is highly conservative. Thus, it seems to be rather unlikely that in modern pig breeds a causative mutation modifying the leptin polypeptide may segregate with a moderate or high frequency. Of course isolated cases of such mutations may appear.

In spite of the fact that all the identified mutations are silent substitutions, there were several attempts to analyse the association between the polymorphisms and growth and carcass traits. Jiang and Gibson [1999] reported a relationship between fat depth

and the T3469C polymorphism. In a group of 32 Large White pigs a higher frequency of the *C* allele was correlated with the lower level of the investigated trait. However, in the second group of 40 this result was not confirmed. The same polymorphism was found to be associated with growth traits (daily live weight gain to weaning, weight at weaning, weight of right carcass) and the genotype *TT* appeared to be more advantageous than the *TC* genotype [Krěnkowa *et al.* 1999]. Different results were presented by Kulig *et al.* [2001], who claimed that pigs of the genotype *TC*, in terms of mean daily live weight gain and lean meat content of carcass, are better than those of the *TT* genotype. In both studies the *CC* genotype was not included due to its low frequency. On the contrary, Kurył *et al.* [2003] analysing 249 unrelated animals revealed that the *TT* genotype was associated with lower fat weight and lower fat content of ham. A comparison of these results allows to conclude that polymorphism of the leptin gene may be associated with different pig production traits. But this is not a causative mutation, and the observed phenotypic variation may be caused by other genes, potentially linked with the *LEP locus*. In different populations (breeds) one can anticipate differences in gene pools and thus observed phenotypic effects are not consistent. On the other hand, inconsistency of the observed effects may depend on the statistical method applied and the number of animals examined. In the above mentioned studies the total number of genotyped animals was 95 Large White × Landrace crosses [Krěnkowa *et al.* 1999], 131 Polish Landrace pigs [Kulig *et al.* 2001] and 249 pigs of various breeds and lines [Kurył *et al.* 2003]

In the leptin receptor gene four polymorphisms were described. Two are intronic substitutions, recognized by the *HpaII* and *RsaI* restriction enzymes [Stratil *et al.* 1998]. Localization of the other two within the gene remains unknown. One of them is detected by *HinfI* [Vincent *et al.* 1997] and the other by DGGE technique [Kopečný *et al.* 1997].

Transcription factors involved in adipocyte differentiation

The process of adipocyte differentiation is a series of chronological events. First a multipotent stem cell precursor gives rise to a mesenchymal precursor cell, which can differentiate into preadipocytes. After the growth arrest of proliferating preadipocytes cells undergo at least one round of DNA replication and cell doubling. This process of clonal expansion is ceased with the beginning of the *PPAR γ* and *C/EBP α* expression. Several transcription factors act cooperatively to trigger the differentiation process, which finally leads to the creation of mature adipocytes [Rosen *et al.* 2000, Gregoire *et al.* 1998].

Absolutely required for adipogenesis, both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, is the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ – **PPAR γ** – Rosen *et al.* [2002]. The *PPAR α* belongs to the superfamily of the steroid hormone nuclear receptors and forms heterodimers with the retinoid X receptor (RXR) – Juge-Aubry *et al.* [1995]. The DNA response element involves 2 half-sites composed of the hexameric sequences AGGTCA sepa-

rated by only one nucleotide [Clarke *et al.* 1999]. The structural organization of this superfamily member is similar and comprises an N-terminal domain, a DNA binding domain including two zinc fingers, and also at the carboxyl terminus a dimerization and ligand binding domain [Rosen and Spiegelman 2001].

There are two main isoforms of PPAR γ : PPAR γ 1 and PPAR γ 2 [Tontonoz *et al.* 1994], and additionally the third type – PPAR γ 3 – the protein indistinguishable from the PPAR γ 1 [Fajas *et al.* 1998]. The human *PPAR* γ gene spans more than 100 kb and is organized in six common exons (all types of PPAR α contain this region). In addition to the common exons, PPAR γ 1 comprises exon A1 and A2, PPAR γ 2 exon B and PPAR γ 3 exon A2. The amino acid sequences of PPAR γ 1 and PPAR γ 3 are identical, since exons A1 and A2 are untranslated. Exon B, which is located between exon A2 and exon 1, codes for additional 28 amino acids of PPAR γ 2 [Fajas *et al.* 1997]. The amino acid sequence comparison indicated a 97% identity between the human and mouse PPAR γ 2 [Mukherjee *et al.* 1997]. PPAR γ 2 seems to play a key role in the initiation of adipogenesis [Saladin *et al.* 1999].

Three variants of the human *PPAR* γ gene were identified: Pro¹²Ala, CAC478CAT and Pro¹¹⁵Gln. Individuals with Ala¹²Ala and CAT478CAT genotypes were severely obese and had increased fat mass [Valve *et al.* 1999].

The family of transcription factors – the CCAAT/enhancer-binding proteins (CEBPs), plays a key role in inducing preadipocyte differentiation and in modulating gene expression in adipocytes. The CEBP family comprises six genes: α , β , γ , δ , ϵ and ζ which probably arose by duplication events. CEBP β and CEBP δ are active early in adipogenesis and are important for directing the differentiation process [Darlington *et al.* 1998, Lekstrom-Himes and Xanthopoulos 1998].

CEBP α is sufficient for adipocyte differentiation. Its antimitotic activity, cooperatively with PPAR γ , terminates clonal expansion [Rosen *et al.* 2000]. The intronless gene codes for two isoforms of C/EBP α – the full length 42-kDa C/EBP α and 32-kDa product, which is a result of the initiation of translation at the third in-frame AUG codon [Lin *et al.* 1993, Ossipow *et al.* 1993]. C/EBP α activates the promoters of several adipocyte genes, including PEPCK, leptin, and the insulin receptor [Gregoire *et al.* 1998]. Surprisingly, C/EBP α and PPAR γ can functionally antagonize on the leptin promoter [Hollenberg *et al.* 1997].

A protein of this family member consists of a carboxyl terminal leucine zipper, a DNA binding domain and a nuclear localization signal. The remaining N-terminal amino acids (transactivation domain) contain insuline-responsive sites of phosphorylation [Lekstrom-Himes and Xanthopoulos 1998]. This transactivation domain consists mainly of conserved elements – CR1, CR2, CR3, and CR4 [Erickson *et al.* 2001].

The cyclic AMP response element-binding protein – **CREB** – has been shown to be sufficient for adipogenesis *in vitro* [Reusch *et al.* 2000]. CREB stimulates the transcription of proteins containing the cAMP response element (CRE). The CRE is an 8 bp palindrome, TGACGTCA, containing two inverted CGTCA half-sites [Quinn 2002]. The CREB protein consists of the acidic region with a cluster of potential

phosphorylation sites [Gonzalez *et al.* 1989], and the C-terminal positively charged basic region – the leucine zipper [Hoeffler *et al.* 1990]. CREB stimulates transcription through a constitutive activation domain (CAD) and a kinase-inducible domain (KID) – Kim *et al.* [2000].

The CREB gene is organized in 11 exons and 10 introns generating multiple mRNA isoforms [Ruppert *et al.* 1992]. The two forms of the CREB protein – one of 341 amino acids termed CREB-A and the other of 327 amino acids called CREB-B, are encoded by alternative transcripts of a single gene [Berkowitz and Gilman 1990]. A comparison of the human and rat CREB cDNA shows a high conservation between the nucleotide and amino acid sequences - 96 and 99%, respectively [Hoeffler *et al.* 1990].

Studies of gene expression during adipocytogenesis revealed quite different expression profiles *in vivo* and *in vitro*. It indicates that this process is likely more complex than previously suggested [Soukas *et al.* 2001].

Conclusion

The identification of QTLs controlling fatness traits seems to be a difficult task. Nine years after the first publication of Andersson *et al.* [1994] on genome scan with the use of polymorphic markers, the achieved progress is rather modest. It is partly caused by decreasing genetic variation (limited gene pools) in modern pig breeds due to selection for meat deposition traits. On the other hand, existing differences between gene pools, characteristic for specific breeds, make it difficult to directly extrapolate results obtained for one breed (or reference family) to another one. Genome scans have also brought surprising results that chromosome 18, on which the leptin gene resides, was rarely detected as a carrier of QTLs for fatness traits. It is anticipated that a new generation of the genome maps – the EST map – should bring new data, which will facilitate the identification of other genes controlling fatness traits. A high homology of the structural parts of *LEP* and *LEPR* genes among mammals shows that the main target of further studies should be the analysis of the regulatory sequences and variation of gene expression profiles.

REFERENCES

1. ANDERSSON L., HALEY C.S., ELLEGREN H., KNOTT S. A., JOHANSSON M., ANDERSSON K., ANDERSSON-ECLUND L., EDFORS-LILIA I., FREDHOLM M., HANSSON I., HAKANSON J., LUNDSTROM K., 1994 – Genetic mapping of quantitative trait loci for growth and fatness in pigs. *Science* 263, 1771-1774.
2. BERKOWITZ L.A., GILMAN M.Z. 1990 – Two distinct forms of active transcription factor CREB (cAMP response element binding protein). *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 87, 5258-5262.
3. BIDWELL C.A., JI S., FRANK G.R., CORNELIUS S.G., WILLIS G.M., SUPRLOCK M.E., 1997 – Cloning and expression of the porcine obese gene. *Animal Biotechnology* 8 (2), 191-206.
4. CLARKE S.D., THUILLIER P., BAILLIE R.A., SHA X., 1999 – Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors: a family of lipid-activated transcription factors. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 70, 566-571.

5. CHEN H., CHARLAT O., TARTAGLIA L.A., WOOLF E.A., WENG X., ELLIS S.J., LAKEY N.D., CULPEPPER J., MOORE K.J., BREITBART R.E., DUYK G.M., TEPPER R.I., MORGENSTERN J.P., 1996 – Evidence that the diabetes gene encodes the leptin receptor: identification of a mutation in the leptin receptor gene in db/db mice. *Cell* 84, 491-495.
6. DARLINGTON G.J., ROSS S.E., MACDOUGALD O.A., 1998 – The role of C/EBP genes in adipocyte differentiation. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 273, 30057-30060.
7. DAVOLI R., FONTANESI L., ZAMBONELLI P., BIGI D., GELLIN J., YERLE M., MILC J., BRAGLIA S., CENCI V., CAGNAZZO M., RUSSO V., 2002 – Isolation of porcine expressed sequence tags for the construction of a first genomic transcript map of the skeletal muscle in pig. *Animal Genetics* 33, 3-18.
8. ERICKSON R.L., HEMATI N., ROSS S.E., MACDOUGALD O.A., 2001 – p300 coactivates the adipogenic transcription factor CAAT/enhancer-binding protein α . *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 276, 16348-16355.
9. FAJAS L., AUBOEUF D., RASPE E., SCHOONJAN K., LEFEBVRE A.M., SALADIN R., NAJIB J., LAVILLE M., FRUCHART J.C., DEEB S., VIDAL-PUIG A., FLEIR J., BRIGGS M.R., STAELS B., VIDAL H., AUWERX J., 1997 – The organization, promoter analysis, and expression of the human PPAR γ gene. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 272, 18779-18789.
10. FAJAS L., FRUCHART J.C., AUWERX J., 1998 – PPAR γ 3 mRNA: a distinct PPAR γ mRNA subtype transcribed from an independent promoter. *FEBS Letters* 438, 55-60.
11. FARBER C.R., MEDRANO J.F., 2003 – Putative *in silico* mapping of DNA sequences to livestock genome maps using SSLP flanking sequences. *Animal Genetics* 34, 11-18.
12. FRONICKE L., CHOWDHARY B.P., SCHERTHAN H., GUSTAVSSON I., 1996 – A comparative map of the porcine and human genomes demonstrates ZOO-FISH and gene mapping-based chromosomal homologies. *Mammalian Genome* 7, 285-290.
13. FRONICKE L., WIENBERG J., 2001 – Comparative chromosome painting defines the high rate of karyotype changes between pigs and bovinds. *Mammalian Genome* 12, 442-449.
14. GERBENS F., DE KOENING D.J., HARDERS F., MEUWISSEN T.H.E., JANSS L.L.G., GROENEN M.A.M., VEERKAMP J.H., TEPAS M.F.W., 2000 – The effect of adipocyte and heart fatty acid-binding protein genes on intramuscular fat and backfat content in Meishan crossbred pigs. *Journal of Animal Science* 78, 552-559.
15. GONG D-W., BI S., PRATLEY R.E., WEINTRAUB B.D., 1996 – Genomic structure and promoter analysis of the human obese gene. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 271, 3971-3974.
16. GONZALEZ G.A., YAMAMOTO K.K., FISCHER W.H., KARR D., MENZEL P., BIGGS W., VALE W.W., MONTMINY M.R., 1989 – A cluster of phosphorylation sites on the cyclic AMP-regulated nuclear factor CREB predicted by its sequence. *Nature* 337, 749-752.
17. GOUREAU A., GARRIGUES A., TOSSER-KLOPP G., LAHBIB-MANSAIS Y., CHARDON P., YERLE M., 2001 – Conserved synteny and gene order difference between human chromosome 12 and pig chromosome 5. *Cytogenetics and Cell Genetics* 94, 49-54.
18. GOUREAU A., YERLE M., SCHMITZ A., RIQUET J., MILAN D., PINTON P., FRELAT G., GELLIN J., 1996 – Human and porcine correspondence of chromosome segments using bidirectional chromosome painting. *Genomics* 36, 252-62.
19. GREGOIRE F.M., SMAS C.M., SUL H.S., 1998 – Understanding adipocyte differentiation. *Physiological Reviews* 78, 783-809.
20. GRINDFLEK E., SZYDA J., LIU Z., LIEN S., 2001 – Detection of quantitative trait loci for meat quality in a commercial slaughter pig cross. *Mammalian Genome* 12, 299-304.
21. HARLIZIUS B., RATTINK A.P., DE KONING D.J., FAIVRE M., JOOSTEN R.G., VAN ARENDONK J.A.M., GROENEN M.A.M., 2000 – The X chromosome harbors quantitative trait loci for backfat thickness and intramuscular content in pigs. *Mammalian Genome* 11, 800-802.

22. HOFFLER J.P., MEYER T.E., WAEBER G., HABENER J.H., 1990 – Multiple adenosine 3', 5'-monophosphate response element DNA-binding proteins generated by gene diversification and alternative exon splicing. *Molecular Endocrinology* 4, 920-930.
23. HOLLENBERG A.N., SUSULIC V.S., MADURA J.P., ZHANG B., MOLLER D.E., TONONZOZ P., SARRAF P., SPIEGELMAN B.M., LOWELL B.B., 1997 – Functional antagonism between CCAAT/enhancer binding protein α and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α on the leptin promoter. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 272, 5283-5290.
24. HWANG CH.-S., MANDRUP S., MACDOUGALD O.A., GEIMAN D.E., 1996 – Transcriptional activation of the mouse obese (ob) gene by CCAAT/enhancer binding protein α . *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 93, 873-877.
25. ISSE N., OGAWA Y., TAMURA N., MASUZAKI H., MORI K., OKAZAKI T., SATOH N., SHIGEMOTO M., YOSHIMASA Y., NISHI S., HOSODA K., INAZAWA J., NAKAO K., 1995 – Structural organization and chromosomal assignment of the human obese gene. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 270 (46), 27728-27733.
26. JIANG Z.-H., GIBSON J.P., 1999 – Genetic polymorphisms in the leptin gene and their association with fatness in four pig breeds. *Mammalian Genome* 10, 191-193.
27. JUGE-AUBRY C.E., GORLA-BAJSZCZAK A., PERNIN A., LEMBERGER T., WAHLI W., BURGER A.G., MEIER C.A., 1995 – Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor mediates cross-talk with thyroid hormone receptor by competition for retinoid X receptor. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 270, 18117-18122.
28. KARSENTY E., BARILLOT E., TOSSER-KLOPP G., LAHBIB-MANSAIS Y., MILAN D., HATEY F., CIRERA S., SAWERA M., JORGENSEN C.B., CHOWDHARY B., FREDHOLM M., WIMMERS K., PONSUKSILI S., DAVOLI R., FONTANESI L., BRAGLIA S., ZAMBONELLI P., BIGI D., NEUENSCHWANDER S., GELLIN J., 2003 – The GENETPIG database: a tool for comparative mapping in pig (*Sus scrofa*). *Nucleic Acid Research* 31, 138-141.
29. KENNES Y.M., MURPHY B.D., POTHIER F., PALIN M.-F., 2001 – Characterization of swine leptin (LEP) polymorphisms and their association with production traits. *Animal Genetics* 32, 215-218.
30. KIM J., LU J., QUINN P.G., 2000 – Distinct cAMP response element-binding protein (CREB) domains stimulate different steps in a concerted mechanism of transcription activation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 97, 11292-11296.
31. KOPEČNÝ M., STRATIL A., ČEPICA S., 1997 – Polymorphism at the porcine LEPR gene detected by PCR-DGGE. *Animal Genetics* 28, 461.
32. KŘENKOWA L., KUCIEL J., URBAN T., 1999 – Association of the RYR1, GH, LEP and TF genes with carcass and meat quality traits in pigs. *Czech Journal of Animal Science* 44, 481-486.
33. KULIG H., GRZESIAK W., SZATKOWSKA I., 2001 – Effect of leptin gene polymorphism on growth and carcass traits in pig. *Archiv Tierzucht Dummerstorf* 44 (3), 291-296.
34. KURYŁ J., 2000 – Geny cech ilościowych zwierząt gospodarskich – aktualny stan badań (The current state of research on the quantitative traits loci in farm animals). In Polish with English summary. *Prace i Materiały Zootechniczne* 56, 7-50.
35. KURYŁ J., KAPELAŃSKI W., PIERZCHAŁA M., BOCIAN M., GRAJEWSKA S., 2003 – A relationship between genotypes at the GH and LEP loci and carcass meat and fat deposition in pigs. *Animal Science Papers and Reports* 21, 15-26.
36. KURYŁ J., ŻURKOWSKI M., RÓŻYCKI M., DUNIEC M., PIERZCHAŁA M., KOSSAKOWSKA A., JANIK A., KAMYCZEK M., SZYDŁOWSKI M., CYMEROWSKA-PROKOPCZYK I., CZERWIŃSKI S., ŚWITOŃSKI M., 1998 – Genes affecting meat and fat content in carcass of pig – recapitulation of Polish project "Pig Genome Mapping". *Polish Journal of Food and Nutrition Sciences* 7/48, 70-76.

37. LEKSTROM-HIMES J., XANTHOPOULOS K.G., 1998 – Biological role of the CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein family of transcription factors. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 273, 28545-28548.
38. LIN F.T., MACDOUGALD O.A., DIEHL A.M., LANE M.D., 1993 – A 30-kDa alternative translation product of the CCAAT/enhancer binding protein α message: Transcriptional activator lacking antimitotic activity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 90, 9606-9610.
39. MADEJA Z., PIASECKA A., LECHNIAK D., ŚWITOŃSKI M., 2002 – Leptyna – polimorfizm genetyczny i rola w rozrodzie (Leptin and its role in reproduction and gene polymorphism). In Polish with English summary. *Medycyna Weterynaryjna* 58, 572-576.
40. MALEK M., DEKKERS J.C.M., LEE H.K., BAAS T.J., ROTHSCHILD M.F., 2001a – A molecular genome scan analysis to identify chromosomal regions influencing economic traits in the pig. I. Growth and body composition. *Mammalian Genome* 12, 630-636.
41. MALEK M., DEKKERS J.C.M., LEE H.K., BAAS T.J., PRUSA K., HUFF-LONERGAN E., ROTHSCHILD M.F., 2001b – A molecular genome scan analysis to identify chromosomal regions influencing economic traits in the pig. II. Meat and muscle composition. *Mammalian Genome* 12, 637-645.
42. MAMMES O., BETOULLE D., AUBERT R., HERBETH B., SIEST G., FUMERON F., 2000 – Association of the G-2548A polymorphism in the 5' region of the LEP gene with overweight. *Annals of Human Genetics* 64, 391-394.
43. MASON M.M., HE Y., CHEN H., QUON J., REITMAN M., 1998 – Regulation of leptin promoter function by Sp1, C/EBP, and a novel factor. *Endocrinology* 139 (3), 1013-1022.
44. MILAN D., BIDANEL J.-P., IANNUCELLI N., RIQUET J., AMIGUES Y., GRUAND J., LE ROY P., RENARD C., CHEVALET C., 2002 – Detection of quantitative trait loci for carcass composition traits in pigs. *Genetics, Selection, Evolution* 34, 705-728.
45. MILLER S.G., DEVOS P., GUERRE-MILLO M., WONG K., HERMANN T., STAELS B., BRIGGS M.R., AUWERX J., 1996 – The adipocyte specific transcription factor C/EBP α modulates human ob gene expression. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 93, 5507-5511.
46. MUKHERJEE R., JOW L., CROSTON G.E., PATERNITI J.R., 1997 – Identification, characterization, and tissue distribution of human peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) isoforms PPAR γ 2 versus PPAR γ 1 and activation with retinoid X receptor agonists and antagonists. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 272, 8071-8076.
47. NEUENSCHWANDER S., RETTENBERGER G., MEIJERINK E., JÖRG H., STRANZINGER G., 1996 – Partial characterization of obesity gene (OBS) and its localization to chromosome 18 by somatic cell hybrids. *Animal Genetics* 27, 275-278.
48. OSSIPOW V., DESCOMBES P., SCHIBLER U., 1993 – CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein mRNA is translated into multiple proteins with different transcription activation potentials. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 90, 8219-8223.
49. OVILO C., OLIVER A., NOGUERA J.L., CLOP A., BARRAGAN C., VARONA L., RODRIGUEZ C., TORO M., SANCHEZ A., PEREZ-ENCISO M., SILIO L., 2002 – Test for positional candidate genes for body composition on pig chromosome 6. *Genetics, Selection, Evolution* 34, 465-479.
50. OVILO C., PEREZ-ENCISO M., BARRAGAN C., CLOP A., RODRIGUEZ C., OLIVER M.A., TORO M.A., NOGUERA J.L., 2000 – A QTL for intramuscular fat and backfat thickness is located on porcine chromosome 6. *Mammalian Genome* 11, 344-346.
51. QUINN P., 2002 – Mechanisms of basal and kinase-inducible transcription activation by CREB. *Progress in Nucleic Acids and Molecular Biology* 72, 269-306.
52. RATTINK A.P., DE KONING D.J., FAIVRE M., HARLIZIUS B., VAN ARENDONK J.A., GROENEN M.A., 2000 – Fine mapping and imprinting analysis for fatness trait QTLs in pigs. *Mammalian Genome* 11, 656-661.

53. REUSCH J.E., COLTON L.A., KLEMM D.J., 2000 – CREB activation induces adipogenesis in 3T3-L1 cells. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* 20, 1008-1020.
54. ROSEN E.D., HSU C.H., WANG X., SAKAI S., FREEMAN M.W., GONZALEZ F.J., SPIEGELMAN B.M., 2002 – C/EBP α induces adipogenesis through PPAR γ : a unified pathway. *Genes & Development* 16, 22-26.
55. ROSEN E.D., SPIEGELMAN B.M., 2001 – PPAR γ : a nuclear regulator of metabolism, differentiation, and cell growth. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 276, 37731-37734.
56. ROSEN E.D., WALKER C.J., PUIGSERVER P., SPIEGELMAN B.M., 2000 – Transcriptional regulation of adipogenesis. *Genes & Development* 14, 1293-1307.
57. RUIZ-CORTEZ Z.T., MEN T., PALIN M.F., DOWNEY B.R., LACROIX D.A., MURPHY B.D., – 2000 Porcine leptin receptor: molecular structure and expression in the ovary. *Molecular Reproduction and Development* 56:465-74.
58. RUPPERT S., COLE T.J., BOSHART M., SCHMIDT E., SCHUTZ G., 1992 – Multiple mRNA isoforms of the transcription activator protein CREB: generation by alternative splicing and specific expression in primary spermatocytes. *EMBO Journal* 11, 1503-1512.
59. SALADIN R., FAJAS L., DANA S., HALVORSEN Y.D., AUWERX J., BRIGGS M., 1999 – Differential regulation of peroxisome proliferator activated receptor γ 1 (PPAR γ 1) and PPAR γ 2 messenger RNA expression in the early stages of adipogenesis *Cell Growth & Differentiation* 10, 43-48.
60. SCHMITZ A., OUSTRY A., VAIMAN D., CHAPUT B., FRELAT G., CRIBIU E.P., 1998 – Comparative karyotype of pig and cattle using whole chromosome painting probes. *Hereditas* 128, 257-263.
61. SCHWERIN M., 2001 – Structural and functional genomics in domestic animals: the way to understand the phenotype. *Journal of Applied Genetics* 42, 293-308.
62. SOUKAS A., SOCCI N.D., SAATKAMP B.D., NOVELLI S., FRIEDMAN J.M., 2001 – Distinct transcriptional profiles of adipogenesis in vivo and in vitro. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 276, 34167-34174.
63. STRATIL A., KOPEČNY M., MOSER G., SCHRÖFFEL J., ČEPICA S., 1998 – HpaII and RsaI PCR-RFLPs within an intron in the porcine leptin receptor gene (LEPR) and its linkage mapping. *Animal Genetics* 29, 405-406.
64. STRATIL A., PEELMAN L., VAN POUCKE M., ČEPICA S., 1997 – A HinfI PCR-RFLP at the porcine leptin (LEP) gene. *Animal Genetics* 28, 371-372.
65. SUN H.F., ERNST C.W., YERLE M., PINTON P., ROTHSCCHILD M.F., CHARDON P., ROGEL-GAILLARD C., TUGGLE C.K., 1999 – Human chromosome 3 and pig chromosome 13 show complete synteny conservation but extensive gene-order differences. *Cytogenetics and Cell Genetics* 85, 273-278.
66. TARTAGLIA L.A., DEMBSKI M., WENG X., DENG N., CULPEPPER J., DEVOS R., RICHARDS G.J., CAMPFIELD L.A., CLARK F.T., DEEDS J., MUIR C., SANKER S., MORIARTY A., MOORE K.J., SMUTKO J.S., MAYS E.A., WOLF E.A., MONROE C.A., TEPPER R.I., 1995 – Identification and expression cloning of a leptin receptor, OB-R. *Cell* 83, 1263-1271.
67. TONTONOZ P., HU E., GRAVES R.A., BUDAVARI A.I., SPIEGELMAN B.M., 1994 – mPPAR gamma 2: tissue-specific regulator of an adipocyte enhancer. *Genes & Development* 8, 1224-1234.
68. TUGGLE C.K., GENET C., SHI X.W., CHARDON P., SANCHEZ-SERRANO I., CRAVENS G., MILAN D., YERLE M., 2001 – Cytogenetic and radiation hybrid mapping reveals conserved synteny and gene order between human chromosome 21 and pig chromosome 13. *Mammalian Genome* 12, 397-399.

69. VALVE R., SIVENIUS K., MIETTINEN R., PIHLAJAMAKI J., RISSANEN A., DEEB S.S., AUWERX J., UUSITUPA M., LAAKSO M., 1999 – Two polymorphisms in the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ gene are associated with severe overweight among obese women. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 84, 3708-3712.
70. VINCENT A.L., WANG L., ROTHSCHILD M.F., 1997 – A restriction fragment length polymorphism in the porcine leptin receptor (LEPR) gene. *Journal of Animal Science* 75, 2287.
71. ZHANG Y., PROENCA R., MAFFEI M., BARONE M., LEOPOLD L., FRIEDMAN J.M., 1994 – Positional cloning of the mouse obese gene and its human homologue. *Nature* 372, 425-431.

Marek Świtoński, Agata Chmurzyńska, Mariusz Maćkowski

Poszukiwanie genów kontrolujących cechy otluszczenia świń – artykuł przeglądowy

Streszczenie

Odkładanie tkanki tłuszczowej jest ważną cechą produkcyjną świń, warunkowaną przez wiele genów. Istnieją doniesienia wskazujące, że w różnych chromosomach (np. 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 12, 13, 14 i 18) mogą występować geny o dużym efekcie działania (QTL) odpowiadające za zmienność fenotypową tych cech. Genami kandydującymi do roli QTL są m. in. gen leptyny (*LEP*) i receptora leptyny (*LEPR*). Ich białkowe produkty odgrywają istotną rolę w kontroli pobierania pokarmu i utrzymywania równowagi energetycznej. Zmienność cech otluszczenia może być m.in. wywołana zróżnicowanym poziomem ekspresji i polimorfizmu specyficznych dla adipocytów czynników transkrypcyjnych, takich jak CREB (*cAMP response element-binding protein*) czy C/EBP (*CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein*). Kilka potencjalnych QTL, takich jak *LEP*, *LEPR*, *C/EBP*, a także *H-FABP* i *RYR1*, zlokalizowanych jest w chromosomie 6. Przewiduje się, że poszukiwanie polimorfizmu wybranych genów może wykryć powiązania między genotypem i obserwowaną zmiennością cech otluszczenia. Podkreślić jednak należy, że badania takie są złożone i wymagają analizy dużej liczby genów.

Powołano się na 71 pozycji piśmiennictwa.